Staff : ANITHA C S

Subject : MAT203 - DISCRETE MATHEMATICAL STRUCTURES

Session Name: THIRD Semester Faculty Survey

Session Id : TE-SN1715-Jan-2023

Users Completed : 20

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 88.78

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	14	70%	**248.5**
		Good	14.13	4	20%	**56.52**
		Fair	10.28	2	10%	**20.56**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	13	65%	**188.5**
		Good	11.81	5	25%	**59.05**
		Fair	8.11	2	10%	**16.22**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	13	65%	**97.5**
		Good	5.71	4	20%	**22.84**
		Fair	4.04	3	15%	**12.12**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	12	60%	**70.2**
		10 to 25	3.66	4	20%	**14.64**
		More than 25	1.91	1	5%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	3	15%	**4.92**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	14	70%	**56**
		Yes	0.67	3	15%	**2.01**
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	15%	**4.95**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	14	70%	**129.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	4	20%	**25.36**
		Inadequate	2.50	1	5%	**2.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	5%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	18	90%	**85.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	1	5%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	5%	**1.4**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	16	80%	**110.08**
		Some Times	3.89	3	15%	**11.67**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	5%	**1.78**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	17	85%	**114.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	1	5%	**2.78**
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	5%	**1.28**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	5%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	16	80%	**184**
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	5%	**1.33**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	10%	**10.12**
		Blank	4.03	1	5%	**4.03**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	16	80%	**180**
		Good	9.03	2	10%	**18.06**
		Fair	6.46	1	5%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	5%	**2.03**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : DR.SONIYA B

Subject : CST201 - DATA STRUCTURES

Session Name: THIRD Semester Faculty Survey

Session Id : TE-SN1715-Jan-2023

Users Completed : 19

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 91.59

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	14	73.68%	**248.5**
		Good	14.13	4	21.05%	**56.52**
		Fair	10.28	1	5.26%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	13	68.42%	**188.5**
		Good	11.81	3	15.79%	**35.43**
		Fair	8.11	3	15.79%	**24.33**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	14	73.68%	**105**
		Good	5.71	3	15.79%	**17.13**
		Fair	4.04	2	10.53%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	14	73.68%	**81.9**
		10 to 25	3.66	3	15.79%	**10.98**
		More than 25	1.91	2	10.53%	**3.82**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	17	89.47%	**68**
		Yes	0.67	2	10.53%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	13	68.42%	**120.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	5	26.32%	**31.7**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	5.26%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	17	89.47%	**80.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	1	5.26%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	5.26%	**1.4**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	17	89.47%	**116.96**
		Some Times	3.89	2	10.53%	**7.78**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	17	89.47%	**114.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	1	5.26%	**2.78**
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	5.26%	**1.28**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	17	89.47%	**195.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	10.53%	**2.66**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	14	73.68%	**157.5**
		Good	9.03	4	21.05%	**36.12**
		Fair	6.46	1	5.26%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : JAYASUDHA J S

Subject : CST203 - Logic System Design

Session Name: THIRD Semester Faculty Survey

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Session Id : TE-SN1715-Jan-2023

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed : 18

Overall Score : 89.98

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	11	61.11%	**195.25**
		Good	14.13	6	33.33%	**84.78**
		Fair	10.28	1	5.56%	**10.28**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	11	61.11%	**159.5**
		Good	11.81	5	27.78%	**59.05**
		Fair	8.11	2	11.11%	**16.22**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	11	61.11%	**82.5**
		Good	5.71	5	27.78%	**28.55**
		Fair	4.04	2	11.11%	**8.08**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	14	77.78%	**81.9**
		10 to 25	3.66	1	5.56%	**3.66**
		More than 25	1.91	3	16.67%	**5.73**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	17	94.44%	**68**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	5.56%	**1.65**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	13	72.22%	**120.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	4	22.22%	**25.36**
		Inadequate	2.50	1	5.56%	**2.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	14	77.78%	**66.5**
		Too Fast	1.34	4	22.22%	**5.36**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	14	77.78%	**96.32**
		Some Times	3.89	4	22.22%	**15.56**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	16	88.89%	**108**
		Indifferent	2.78	2	11.11%	**5.56**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	15	83.33%	**172.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	2	11.11%	**2.66**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	5.56%	**5.06**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	13	72.22%	**146.25**
		Good	9.03	4	22.22%	**36.12**
		Fair	6.46	1	5.56%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : HARIPRIYA B S

Subject : CST205 - OBJECT ORIENTED PROGRAMMING USING JAVA

Session Name: THIRD Semester Faculty Survey   **Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21**

Session Id : TE-SN1715-Jan-2023   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 17   **Overall Score : 94.89**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	12	70.59%	**213**
		Good	14.13	5	29.41%	**70.65**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	13	76.47%	**188.5**
		Good	11.81	4	23.53%	**47.24**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	13	76.47%	**97.5**
		Good	5.71	4	23.53%	**22.84**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	14	82.35%	**81.9**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	11.76%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	1	5.88%	**1.91**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	16	94.12%	**64**
		Yes	0.67	1	5.88%	**0.67**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	13	76.47%	**120.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	4	23.53%	**25.36**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	16	94.12%	**76**
		Too Fast	1.34	1	5.88%	**1.34**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	16	94.12%	**110.08**
		Some Times	3.89	1	5.88%	**3.89**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	16	94.12%	**108**
		Indifferent	2.78	1	5.88%	**2.78**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	16	94.12%	**184**
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	5.88%	**1.33**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	14	82.35%	**157.5**
		Good	9.03	3	17.65%	**27.09**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : NADIYA S NAZAR

Subject : HUT200 - PROFESSIONAL ETHICS

Session Name: THIRD Semester Faculty Survey   **Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21**

Session Id : TE-SN1715-Jan-2023   **Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 17   **Overall Score : 95.17**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	13	76.47%	**230.75**
		Good	14.13	4	23.53%	**56.52**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	12	70.59%	**174**
		Good	11.81	5	29.41%	**59.05**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	13	76.47%	**97.5**
		Good	5.71	4	23.53%	**22.84**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	15	88.24%	**87.75**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	11.76%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	17	100%	**68**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	15	88.24%	**138.75**
		Satisfactory	6.34	2	11.76%	**12.68**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	17	100%	**80.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	16	94.12%	**110.08**
		Some Times	3.89	1	5.88%	**3.89**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	15	88.24%	**101.25**
		Indifferent	2.78	2	11.76%	**5.56**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	15	88.24%	**172.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	5.88%	**1.33**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	5.88%	**5.06**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	13	76.47%	**146.25**
		Good	9.03	4	23.53%	**36.12**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : SOJASALIM

Subject : MCN201 - SUSTAINABLE ENGINEERING

Session Name: THIRD Semester Faculty Survey

Session Id : TE-SN1715-Jan-2023

Users Completed : 17

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 93.23

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	11	64.71%	**195.25**
		Good	14.13	6	35.29%	**84.78**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	11	64.71%	**159.5**
		Good	11.81	5	29.41%	**59.05**
		Fair	8.11	1	5.88%	**8.11**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	11	64.71%	**82.5**
		Good	5.71	5	29.41%	**28.55**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	1	5.88%	**1.13**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	14	82.35%	**81.9**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	11.76%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	5.88%	**1.64**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	16	94.12%	**64**
		Yes	0.67	1	5.88%	**0.67**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	14	82.35%	**129.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	2	11.76%	**12.68**
		Inadequate	2.50	1	5.88%	**2.5**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	17	100%	**80.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	15	88.24%	**103.2**
		Some Times	3.89	2	11.76%	**7.78**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	15	88.24%	**101.25**
		Indifferent	2.78	2	11.76%	**5.56**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	16	94.12%	**184**
		Not Sincere	1.33	1	5.88%	**1.33**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	14	82.35%	**157.5**
		Good	9.03	2	11.76%	**18.06**
		Fair	6.46	1	5.88%	**6.46**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : RAHMATH S HAMEED

Subject : MRT281A - INTRODUCTION TO SENSORS AND ACTUATORS

Session Name: THIRD Semester Faculty Survey　　　　　　　　　　**Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21**

Session Id : TE-SN1715-Jan-2023　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　**Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020**

Users Completed : 9　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　**Overall Score : 87.4**

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	5	55.56%	**88.75**
		Good	14.13	3	33.33%	**42.39**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	11.11%	**8**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	5	55.56%	**72.5**
		Good	11.81	3	33.33%	**35.43**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	11.11%	**4.09**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	5	55.56%	**37.5**
		Good	5.71	3	33.33%	**17.13**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	11.11%	**2.21**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	6	66.67%	**35.1**
		10 to 25	3.66	2	22.22%	**7.32**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	1	11.11%	**1.64**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	7	77.78%	**28**
		Yes	0.67	1	11.11%	**0.67**
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	11.11%	**1.65**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	6	66.67%	**55.5**
		Satisfactory	6.34	2	22.22%	**12.68**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	11.11%	**3.16**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	8	88.89%	**38**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	11.11%	**1.4**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	8	88.89%	**55.04**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	11.11%	**1.78**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	7	77.78%	**47.25**
		Indifferent	2.78	1	11.11%	**2.78**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	1	11.11%	**1.94**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	8	88.89%	**92**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	11.11%	**5.06**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	6	66.67%	**67.5**
		Good	9.03	2	22.22%	**18.06**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	11.11%	**2.03**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : HARIKUTTAN K.

Subject : AOT281 - FUNDAMENTALS OF AERONAUTICS

Session Name: THIRD Semester Faculty Survey

Session Id : TE-SN1715-Jan-2023

Users Completed : 1

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score : 99.98

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	1	100%	**17.75**
		Good	14.13	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	1	100%	**14.5**
		Good	11.81	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	1	100%	**7.5**
		Good	5.71	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	1	100%	**5.85**
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	**0**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	1	100%	**4**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	1	100%	**9.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	1	100%	**4.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	1	100%	**6.88**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	1	100%	**6.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	1	100%	**11.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	1	100%	**11.25**
		Good	9.03	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness
No remarks found.

Special Remarks
No special remarks found.

Head of The Department

Staff : SUBHA V

Subject : MRT281 - INTRODUCTION TO SENSORS AND ACTUATORS

Session Name: THIRD Semester Faculty Survey

Batch : BTech KTU AM 2K21

Session Id : TE-SN1715-Jan-2023

Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed : 1

Overall Score : 99.98

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	1	100%	**17.75**
		Good	14.13	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	**0**
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	1	100%	**14.5**
		Good	11.81	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	**0**
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	1	100%	**7.5**
		Good	5.71	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	**0**
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	1	100%	**5.85**
		10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	**0**
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	**0**
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	1	100%	**4**
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	**0**
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	1	100%	**9.25**
		Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	**0**
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	**0**
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	1	100%	**4.75**
		Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	**0**
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	1	100%	**6.88**
		Some Times	3.89	0	0%	**0**
		No	0.74	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	**0**
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	1	100%	**6.75**
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	**0**
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	**0**
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	1	100%	**11.5**
		Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	**0**
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	**0**
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	**0**
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	1	100%	**11.25**
		Good	9.03	0	0%	**0**
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	**0**
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	**0**
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	**0**

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.

Head of The Department